UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,991	07/13/2006	Weiping Zeng	1691-0221PUS1	8865	
2292 7590 05/02/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH					
PO BOX 747	GH 111 00010 0717	PEPITONE, MICHAEL F			
FALLS CHUR	RCH, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMI		PAPER NUMBER		
			1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/585,991	ZENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL PEPITONE	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	lv 2006					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
diesed in assertantes with the practice and in	x parte Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	.0 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
,— , , ,	•					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:	priority drider 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
·— <u> </u>	have been received					
		an Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		04			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/12/06</u> . 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto *et al.* (US 6,037,388) in view of Nishimura *et al.* (US 5,281,641), when taken with Wakumoto *et al.* (US 5,122,061).

Regarding claims 1 and 3-4: Hashimoto *et al.* teaches a polymerization initiator composition {for dental adhesives} (1:14-20; 2:15-22) comprising 100 parts by weight organic boron compound, specifically partially oxidized tributyl boron (2:45-49); 10 to 150 parts by

Application/Control Number: 10/585,991 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

weight of an aprotic solvent having a boiling point of 30 to 150 °C, specifically hexane (2:65-3:6)

Hashimoto *et al.* does not teach 0.2 to 5 parts of an alcohol having a boiling point of 60 to 180 °C [instant claim 1], specifically ethanol [instant claims 3-4]. However, Nishimura *et al.* teaches a dental adhesive composition comprising a polar organic compound (alcohol), in an amount of 10 mol % or less {based on amount of boron} (1:4-7; 1:61-2:8; 3:1-3; 3:17-26). Hashimoto *et al.* and Nishimura *et al.* are combinable because they are concerned with a similar technical difficulty, namely the preparation of dental adhesive compositions containing organoboron initiators. At the time of invention a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have combined a polar organic compound (alcohol), as taught by Nishimura *et al.* in the invention of Hashimoto *et al.*, and would have been motivated to do so since Nishimura *et al.* suggests that such polar organic compounds (alcohol) provide a decrease in the curing rate which results in an increase of the adhesion strength (3:30-23), and is an equivalent alternative means of providing a dental adhesive composition containing organoboron initiators.

Wakumoto *et al.* provides evidence for ethanol as an alcohol for use in dental adhesives containing organoboron initiators (1:5-6; 1:46-64; 6:50-52; Table 1, ex. 8).

Regarding claim 2: Hashimoto et al. teaches hexane {alkane} (2:65-66).

Regarding claim 5: Hashimoto *et al.* teaches a dental adhesive comprising 30 to 90 parts by weight of a polymerizable monomer; 0 to 60 parts by weight of a (meth)acrylate polymer; and 1 to 30 parts by weight of initiator composition, based on 100 parts by weight of the total composition (4:13-25).

Application/Control Number: 10/585,991 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Regarding claim 6: Hashimoto *et al.* teaches (meth)acrylates monomers (4:26-67; 13:33-40).

Regarding claim 7: Hashimoto *et al.* teaches polymethyl methacrylate (3:28-48; 11:34-37; 13:33-40).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. See attached form PTO-892.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL PEPITONE whose telephone number is (571)270-3299. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on 571-272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MARK EASHOO/ MFP Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 23-April-08 27-Apr-08